UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	Nic	colas <i>F</i>	Aguilar-Montoya	Case Number:	09-6307M-003
	rdance v ablished		Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142((Check one or both, as applicable.)	f), a detention hearing has b	een held. I conclude that the following facts
	•		onvincing evidence the defendant is this case.	s a danger to the community	and require the detention of the defendant
	by a pre	•	rance of the evidence the defendan	t is a flight risk and require th	e detention of the defendant pending trial in
			PART I -	- FINDINGS OF FACT	
	(1)		fendant has been convicted of a (fec cumstance giving rise to federal juri	, ,	fense that would have been a federal offense
			a crime of violence as defined in	18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).	
			an offense for which the maximur	n sentence is life imprisonme	ent or death.
			an offense for which a maximum	term of imprisonment of ten	years or more is prescribed in
			a felony that was committed after described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(the defendant had been cor 1)(A)-(C), or comparable sta	nvicted of two or more prior federal offenses te or local offenses.
	(2)	The of state of	fense described in finding 1 was co r local offense.	mmitted while the defendan	t was on release pending trial for a federal,
	(3)	A perio	od of not more than five years had onment) for the offense described in	s elapsed since the (date of n finding 1.	f conviction)(release of the defendant from
	(4)	reason			to condition or combination of conditions will ity. I further find that the defendant has not
			Alte	rnative Findings	
	(1)	There	is probable cause to believe that th	e defendant has committed	an offense
			for which a maximum term of imp	risonment of ten years or mo	ore is prescribed in²
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)	·	
	(2)	The de	efendant has not rebutted the pre- ons will reasonably assure the app	sumption established by fine earance of the defendant as	ding 1 that no condition or combination of required and the safety of the community.
			Alte	rnative Findings	
×	(1)		is a serious risk that the defendant pearance of the defendant as requi		pination of conditions will reasonably assure
	(2)	No cor	ndition or combination of conditions	will reasonably assure the s	afety of others and the community.
	(3)	There a pros	is a serious risk that the defendant pective witness or juror).	will (obstruct or attempt to ob	estruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate
	(4)				

Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or

⁽c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

PART II -- WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)

	evidence as to danger that:
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
×	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.
×	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.
×	If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Cust Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calcul to assure his/her future appearance.
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.
	The defendant lives and works in Mexico.
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and substantial family ties to Mexico.
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of
The	defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:
In ac	dition:

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

³ "The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing." 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 7th day of July, 2009.

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge